



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/362,266	07/28/1999	JAMES K. WALLER JR.	1932.99C	6652
7590 06/02/2004			EXAMINER	
Frank J Catalano Gable & Gotwals 100 West 5th Street 10th Floor Tulsa, OK 74103			MEI, XU	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2644	12
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/362,266	WALLER JR. ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Xu Mei	2644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 29 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applica 1) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in				
PERIOD FOR R	REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing da	•					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Of timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply ffice later than three months after the mains.	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>	•					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	her consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	•				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d)  they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		idered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by t	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				

Xu Mei Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2644

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are substantially the same as in the response of Amendment C dated 12/8/2003 and has been considered and responsed in the final rejection. Applicant's arguments are deemed not persuasive and the final rejection is therefore maintained.